'ELEPAIO

Journal of the Hawaii Audubon Society



For the Protection of Hawaii's Native Wildlife

VOLUME 46, NUMBER 11

MAY 1986

A Review of the English and Scientific Nomenclature of Cave Swiftlets (Aerodramus)

by H. Douglas Pratt

English names for the world's birds have long been considered unimportant by taxonomists. Scientific nomenclature, which is standardized for all languages and which is based on a precise set of rules, is often considered sufficient for all purposes. In North America, however, the American Ornithologists' Union has long codified English names as well. This standardization of English names has served several useful functions, not the least of which is nomenclatural stability. This statement may surprise those who conceive of scientific nomenclature as standardized and stable. Nothing could be further from the truth. Because scientific names function not only as labels but also as indicators of relationships, they must change when new information forces a rethinking of the classification. English names (or names in other modern languages) need not be changed every time a species is transferred to a new genus, or when two or more genera are combined. Thus the Forktailed Flycatcher of the 1957 AOU Check-list was Muscivora tyrannus, but in the 1983 edition became Tyrannus savana. Rules of zoological nomenclature required such a change when the genus Muscivora was merged with Tyrannus, because the latter genus already had a species T. tyrannus whose name antedated that of the Fork-tailed Flycatcher. The Fork-tailed Flycatcher then assumed its second-oldest name and became T. savana. In this case, the English name was more stable, and indeed provides the only clue most non-professionals would have that M. tyrannus and T. savana are one and the same. Vernacular names, once standardized for a given language, would always be more stable than scientific names because they need reflect only species limits, not generic allocations. (I disagree with those who suggest we adopt distinctive vernacular group-names for genera or groups of genera. If we do that, we will defeat the whole purpose of standardization and might as well use scientific nomenclature.) Such names should function mainly as labels. When they are informative or interesting, so much the better, but that should not be considered their primary role. For example, a small green Hawaiian honeycreeper has been called the 'amakihi since the earliest days of ornithological exploration in those islands. However, it has carried at various times the scientific names Certhia virens, Melithreptus virens, Nectarinia flava, Drepanis flava, Himatione virens, Chlorodrepanis virens, Loxops virens, and now Hemignathus virens! The only alteration ever made in the English name (which is based on the Hawaiian) has been the recent addition of the word "Common," to distinguish this species from the Greater 'Amakihi (H. sagittirostris) and the Lesser 'Amakihi or 'Anianiau (H. parvus). The use of the tax onomically noncommittal Hawaiian name as a label, nothing more, has had obvious advantages in English-language publications over the years. In fact, anyone wishing to read the older literature on Hawaiian birds is forced to learn the Hawaiian names in order to make any sense of it at all. This

is certainly an extreme example, but illustrates the value of standardizing names of birds in modern languages.

Speakers of some other languages (e.g. French, Spanish, German) have begun the task of standardizing names for the world's birds in those tongues. The task for English is much more difficult, but also more important because so much of the popular and technical literature on birds is in English. Many pitfalls await the intrepid lexicographer willing to journey into the realm of English names for birds, as the example that is the main subject of this paper will demonstrate. I hope this paper will serve to show that even the most complex nomenclatural problems can be worked out with a knowledge of the birds and thorough analysis of all the pertinent literature. Regionalisms can be reconciled, ambiguities can be eliminated, and a useful list of English names can be adopted for even the most perplexing groups. Unfortunately, this paper also shows that none of the various English world bird check-lists currently in print have adequately researched the problems. Probably no single author or group of authors is equal to the task on a worldwide basis, so the best approach may be for individuals to tackle small taxonomic groups one at a time, as I have done here.

In the course of selecting English names to be used in a forthcoming field guide to Pacific birds (Pratt et al., in press), I became acutely aware of a particularly vexing nomenclatural problem that involves the small echolocating cave swiftlets found from the Himalayas to Polynesia. Both the scientific and English nomenclature of these birds is in chaos. For example, the Edible-



Island Swiftlet in nesting tunnel, North Halawa Valley, Oahu, 1978.

Photo by Greg Vaughn

nest Swiftlet has 3 different scientific names in use; the name Gray Swiftlet is applied to 4 different species by various current authors; and Aerodramus vanikorensis, a species widespread in Micronesia and Melanesia and introduced to Hawaii, has 6 different English names in various publications. No two English world check-lists (Clements 1981; Edwards 1982; Gruson 1976; Howard and Moore 1980; Morony et al. 1975; Walters 1980) agree on the classification or English names of swiftlets. This review of the literature is an attempt to bring some order to this confusing situation and to propose a single English name for each of the currently recognized swiftlet species.

Cave swiftlets are placed either in the genus Collocalia Gray 1840 or the smaller genus Aerodramus Oberholser 1906. Brooke (1972) advocated dividing Collocalia into three genera, because of differences in nesting behavior and the ability to echolocate. His classification leaves Collocalia with only 3 species (esculenta, marginata, and troglodytes), places the Giant Swiftlet (Hydrochous gigas) in a monotypic genus, and puts all other cave swiftlets in Aerodramus. Most swiftlet specialists have followed Brooke (1972), but among authors of general check-lists, only Clements (1981) and Edwards (1982) have done so. Morony et al. (1975) list the subdivisions as subgenera as Brooke (1970) had first proposed. This paper deals only with Aerodramus as delineated by Brooke (1972). Note that Aerodramus is masculine, whereas Collocalia is feminine, and species epithets must be adjusted acordingly.

Table I lists all the taxa of Aerodramus that have been given species rank by any recent author, with the English names used for them. I have used the American spelling "gray" for that color, but English-language publications outside North America use the British form "grey." The two are interchangeable. Six forms (elaphrus, hirundinaceus, nuditarsus, ocistus, orientalis, and Whiteheadi) have only one English name in current use, and are thus unambiguous. Unfortunately, most of these taxa are not considered full species in recent revisions. Many ambiguities have resulted from the lumping of species when the combined taxon took the English name of one component but the scientific name (under rules of priority) of the other. For example, when A. lowi was recognized as conspecific with A. maximus, several authors transferred the name Low's Swiftlet to A. maximus, previously (and still in most works) known as the Black-nest Swiftlet. Such cases that involve only 2 forms are relatively easily resolved, as are those in which essentially the same name is written in different ways (e.g. Carolines vs. Caroline Islands Swiftlet, Tahiti vs. Tahitian Swiftlet), where the choice is largely a matter of style. In several cases, however, the nomenclatural history is so convoluted that it must be examined in detail before any recommendation can be made as to which English name is the best.

The classification I follow is that of Medway and Pye (1977) as supplemented by Holyoak and Thibault (1978). These authors offer the only modern revision or check-list of this genus that is based on primary research. Their species limits are based mainly on the kinds of nests constructed and the birds' ability to echolocate, the same criteria used by Brooke (1972) at the generic level. As more has been learned about these matters, swiftlet taxonomy has changed progressively, and not all cases are settled (Medway 1966, 1975; Somadikarta 1967; Procter 1972; Holyoak and Thibault 1978).

In choosing English names I have followed the recommendations of the Committee on Classification and Nomenclature of the American Ornithologists' Union (AOU 1983).



Island Swiftlet nest and egg, North Halawa Valley, Oahu, 1978.

Photo by Greg Vaughn

The most important of these guidelines in this context are: a) that well-known names for well-established species should be retained wherever possible; b) that modifiers should be used for group-names applied to more than one species; and c) that new names must be provided for species formed by consolidation of two or more previously recognized species if none of the previous names are appropriate to the enlarged species.

Several English names (Table 2) have been so overused in swiftlet nomenclature that they are now hopelessly ambiguous and should be avoided altogether. Such is certainly the case for "Gray Swiftlet" (a meaningless name at best because all swiftlets are more or less gray). It has been applied by various authors to 4 different taxa, one of which (A. vanikorensis) is now an amalgam of at least 3 formerly recognized species and parts of at least 2 others. I have rejected other names for a variety of reasons, but wherever possible I have chosen the most often used name. Only two entirely new names, Indian Ocean Swiftlet for A. francicus and Island Swiftlet for A. vanikorensis, are proposed herein.

The following accounts, in alphabetical order, discuss the current taxonomic status and name choices for the taxa listed in Table 1.

Aerodramus brevirostris. Himalayan Swiftlet.—Ripley (1961) called this species the "Indian Edible-nest Swiftlet," a name usually applied to A. unicolor. He considered unicolor a race of A. fuciphaga ("Malaysian Edible-nest Swiftlet"), so his use of this English name for brevirostris is curious. Fleming et al. (1979) further cloud the issue by calling A. brevirostris simply the "Ediblenest Swift." The name Himalayan Swiftlet has not been used for any other taxon, and so remains suitable for this species.

Aerodramus elaphrus. Seychelles Swiftlet.—This form is endemic to the Seychelles, and is variously considered a species or a subspecies of A. francicus. The above name is appropriate if it is a species, but a consensus appears to be forming that elaphrus and francicus are conspecific (see Procter 1972; Penny 1974; Barre and Barau 1982). If they are, a new all-inclusive name should be selected for the combined species (see A. francicus).

TABLE 1.
SCIENTIFIC AND ENGLISH NAMES OF AFRODRAMUS SWIFTLETS FROM RECENT LITER ATURE

Taxon	English Name	Sources (numbers from Literature Cited)
brevirostris	Himalayan	most sources
	Indian Edible-nest	37
	Edible-nest Swift	18
elaphrus	Seychelles Cave	25
	Seychelles	17, 28, 42
francicus	Gray-rumped	20, 25, 28, 42
	Mauritius	17
	Mascarene	11, 30
	Seychelles Cave (includes elaphus)	33
fuciphagus	Edible-nest (includes inexpectatus)	most sources
	Malaysian Edible-nest (includes unicolor)	37
	Gray-rumped	39
	White-nest	the second second second second
	Thunberg's	20, 25, 42, 43
	Hume's	19
hirundinaceus	Mountain	all
inexpectatus	Edible-nest	most sources
	Gray-rumped	37
inquietus	Caroline Islands	3
The state of the s	Caroline	17, 42
	Carolines	11, 20, 25, 32
eucophaeus	Tahitian	20, 25
	Tahiti (includes sawtelli and ocistus)	16, 17
	Polynesian	41
	Marquesas (includes ocistus)	II as a second transfer the second transfer to
owi	Low's	13, 20
	Robinson's	19
maximus	Black-nest	11, 26, 39
	Low's (includes lowi?)	17
	Lowe's (includes lowi)	25
	Indomalayan	
nuditarsus	Bare-legged	17
	Schrader Mountain	25
	Naked-legged	42
ocistus	Marquesan	10, 25
orientalis	Guadalcanal	17, 25
papuensis	Three-toed	17, 30, 40
rupuensis	Isenburg River	25
alangana	Mossy-nest Mossy	39 25
	Thunberg's	42
	Sunda	17
savitalli	Sawtell's	
awtelli	Atiu	17, 42 23
	Cook Islands	17, 25
nodionycius		
podiopygius	White-rumped Gray	most sources
	Gray-rumped	34, 38 34
	Pacific White-rumped	28, 42

(Table 1, continued)

terraereginae	Gray	12	
unicolor	Indian Edible-nest Indian	21, 25, 30, 42 11, 17	
vanikorensis	Vanikoro Gray Uniform Lowland Mossy-nest Guam Cave	27, 32 2, 9, 15 12, 17, 20, 21, 25, 34, 38 5, 17, 42 11, 30 6	
vestitus	Gray Brown-rumped	13, 14, 17 19, 20, 39	
whiteheadi	Whitehead's	all sources	

Aerodramus francicus. Mascarene Swiftlet or Indian Ocean Swiftlet.—The former name should be used if elaphrus of the Seychelles is considered a separate species; the latter is my suggestion for an alternative if elaphrus and this form are combined. The form francicus is endemic to the Mascarene Islands of Reunion and Mauritius. The name Gray-rumped Swiftlet came into use for A. francicus when the Indian Ocean forms were lumped with South-east Asian and East Indian forms (see A. fuciphagus), but Medway (1966) used that name for the Mascarene birds only—a case of one species stealing the English name of another by first being lumped and then split! In any case, "Gray-rumped" should not be used for A. francicus.

Aerodramus fuciphagus. Edible-nest Swiftlet.—These birds are so named because their nests are made almost entirely of

hardened saliva, and are used as a base for bird's-nest soup, an Oriental delicacy. These are "white" nests as opposed to the "black" nests of other swiftlets that have much plant material and feathers mixed with the saliva. Long known as Collocalia inexpectata, this species included forms from the Andaman Islands east to western Micronesia (bartschi of the Marianas and pelewensis of Palau). Some authors have treated this complex under the name francicus by including in it the Mascarene Swiftlet. Most authors since Medway (1966) have included the former Thunberg's or Hume's Swiftlet (fuciphagus sensu stricto), whose name has priority over inexpectata but not over francicus. Howard and Moore (1980) muddied the waters by lumping francicus with inexpectata, but leaving fuciphagus separate. The basis for such a classification is not apparent. Medway (1966; 1975) transferred the two

TABLE 2.

AMBIGUOUS ENGLISH NAMES OF SWIFTLETS (AERODRAMUS)

Name	Taxon	Sources
Gray	vanikorensis spodiopygius vestitus terraereginae	2, 9, 15, 30 (alternate) 2 (alternate), 34, 38 13, 14, 17
Gray-rumped	francicus (sensu stricto) francicus (including inexpectatus) francicus (including inexpectatus in part) fuciphagus (including inexpectatus) fuciphagus (not including inexpectatus) inexpectatus spodiopygius	28 25 20, 42 1 39 37 34 (alternate)
Edible-nest	fuciphagus (including inexpectatus) inexpectatus brevirostris	most recent sources most older sources 18
Indian Edible-nest	unicolor fuciphagus (including unicolor) brevirostris	11, 25, 30 22 37
Mossy-nest	salangana vanikorensis (including salangana)	39 30
Low's	lowi maximus (including lowi)	13, 20 17, 25
Thunberg's	salangana fuciphagus	42 17, 20, 25, 43

Micronesian forms, which do not build "white" nests, to A. vanikorensis. He also included the East Indian form vestitus in A. fuciphagus.

Aerodramus hirundinaceus. Mountain Swiftlet.—This endemic New Guinea species presents no nomenclatural problems, but see the discussion of its lowland counterpart A. vanikorensis.

Aerodramus inexpectatus.—This older name for the Ediblenest Swiftlet (which see) still appears occasionally in the literature. Ripley (1961) used the name "Gray-rumped Swiftlet" for it, a name that is now virtually meaningless.

Aerodramus inquietus. Caroline Islands Swiftlet.—This name was in use for a group of 3 subspecies on Truk, Pohnpei, and Kosrae in the Carolines, but Medway (1975) and Medway and Pye (1977) consider them to belong to A. vanikorensis.

Aerodramus leucophaeus. Tahiti Swiftlet or Polynesian Swiftlet.—The second name should only be used if the other two swiftlets of southeastern Polynesia (ocistus and sawtelli) are lumped with this one.

Aerodramus lowi. This group of subspecies is now regarded by virtually all authors as belonging to A. maximus.

Aerodramus maximus. Black-nest Swiftlet.—This name is appropriate to contrast this species with the "white-nest" A. fuciphagus, with which it is broadly sympatric. The name Low's Swiftlet, brought in when lowi and its relatives were added to this species, should be dropped.

Aerodramus nuditarsus. Bare-legged Swiftlet.—This species was established by Somadikarta (1967) who proposed no English name for it. B. King (in litt.) suggests the more accurate and euphonious name Bare-footed Swiftlet. Medway and Pye (1977) consider this form a subspecies of A. whiteheadi.

Aerodramus ocistus. Marquesas Swiftlet.—I prefer this construction to "Marquesan" because it parallels other such island names. (The Marquesas are never called the "Marquesan Islands.")

Aerodramus orientalis. Guadalcanal Swiftlet.—Like nuditarsus, this species was delineated by Somadikarta (1967) without an English name. Even though this bird probably also lives on New Ireland, the above name will do if this is indeed a distinct species. Medway and Pye (1977) consider it a race of A. whiteheadi.

Aerodramus papuensis. Three-toed Swiftlet.—Somadi-karta's (1967) name is particularly appropriate for this species, because it is the only Aerodramus with only 3 toes. Howard and Moore's (1980) name "Isenburg River Swiftlet" is much less suitable.

Aerodramus salangana. Mossy-nest Swiftlet.—This form is now usually placed in the A. vanikorensis complex. The above name was not widely used, but Medway and Pye (1977) suggested it as the name for vanikorensis when they lumped salangana with it. Such a course can only cause confusion.

Aerodramus sawtelli. Atiu Swiftlet.—Of uncertain status, this form is endemic to Atiu in the Cook Islands (Holyoak and Thibault 1978). It can equally well be considered a race of A. leucophaeus.

Aerodramus spodiopygius. White-rumped Swiftlet.—The taxonomy of this species is relatively straightforward except that some authors separate the Australian form terraereginae from it (Condon 1975). In Australia, "Gray Swiftlet" is in use for this species (or for terraereginae), but that name has been so overused as to be meaningless in an international context.

Aerodramus terraereginae.—Only Condon (1975) among recent authors recognizes this form as distinct from A.

spodiopygius.

Aerodramus unicolor. Indian Swiftlet.—This shorter English name seems suitable for this species, and avoids the problem of having to add a second modifier to the English name for A. fuciphagus. "Indian Edible-nest Swiftlet" should be dropped also because it has been applied previously to another species by Ripley (1961), who also lumped unicolor with fuciphagus.

Aerodramus vanikorensis. Island Swiftlet.-This species is widespread in the southwestern Pacific region. The nucleus vanikorensis originally included only forms distributed from Celebes eastward throughout Melanesia. Medway (1966) transferred the Micronesian bartschi and pelewensis and the Philippine form amelis from the edible-next complex to this one, and the English name "Gray Swiftlet" came into use for the enlarged taxon. Medway (1975) added salangana and inquietus to the complex. In an effort to keep up with this ever-expanding species, various authors have used a variety of English names (Table 1), many of which are now inappropriate for various reasons. The original name Vanikoro Swiftlet was resurrected by Owen (1977), but his apellation now seems too provincial. The use of "Mossy-nest Swiftlet," as proposed by Medway and Pye (1977), might now be appropriate but will inevitably lead to confusion because that name was once restricted to A. salangana. "Uniform Swiftlet," used primarily by Australian ornithologists, is inappropriate now that several pale-rumped forms have been added to the complex. "Lowland Swiftlet" is suitable in New Guinea to contrast this species with the Mountain Swiftlet, but is a nonsense name in other parts of the species' range. "Gray Swiftlet" suffers from overuse and resultant ambiguity: it is used for A. spodiopygius (or A. terraereginae) in Australia; it was formerly used for Collocalia vestita (now a race of A. fuciphagus) in the Malaysian region (Delacour 1947); and in the Philippines it has been used for both C. vestita (Delacour and Mayr 1946) and C. vanikorensis (duPont 1971; Bruce 1980). To avoid further confusion, "Gray Swiftlet" should be suppressed entirely. What is needed is a name appropriate to A. vanikorensis wherever it is found that will not cause confusion with some other presently or formerly recognized species. Berger (1981) was on the right track when he used "Guam Cave Swiftlet" for A. v. bartschi. But "Cave Swiftlet" would also be ambiguous because that combination is widely used as a group-name for the whole genus. One thing that characterizes A. vanikorensis as a whole is its presence almost exclusively on islands. Thus I propose the above name as a distinctive, informative, unambiguous, and easily remembered English name for this species.

Aerodramus vestitus. Brown-rumped Swiftlet.—The suggestion of an English name for this form, now lumped with A. fuciphagus, is not entirely academic, because evidence exists that the two may be sympatric on Borneo (Medway 1966). In any case, the use of "Gray Swiftlet" for this form should be avoided.

Aerodramus whiteheadi. Whitehead's Swiftlet.—This form presents no nomenclatural problems, but its taxonomy has had a complex history. Once considered to be restricted to the Philippines, it now includes 2 Melanesian forms (orientalis and nuditarsus). All 3 were included in A. brevirostris by Medway (1966).

Table 3 lists the species of cave swiftlets as currently understood, with appropriate English names and a brief account of range. Table 3 can be regarded as a summary of this paper's conclusions.

TABLE 3. CURRENTLY RECOGNIZED SPECIES OF AERODRAMUS SWIFTLETS WITH THEIR DISTRIBUTIONS

Species	English Name	Distribution
A. brevirostris	Himalayan Swiftlet	South-east Asia, Greater Sundas, Philippines
A. francicus	Indian Ocean Swiftlet	Mauritius, Reunion, Seychelles
A. fuciphagus	Edible-nest Swiftlet	South-east Asia, East Indies, Philippines
A. hirundinaceus	Mountain Swiftlet	New Guinea
A. leucophaeus	Tahiti Swiftlet	Tahiti, Moorea
A. maximus	Black-nest Swiftlet	Himalayas east to Greater Sundas, Philippines
A. ocistus	Marquesas Swiftlet	Marquesas
A. papuensis	Three-toed Swiftlet	New Guinea
A. sawtelli	Atiu Swiftlet	Atiu (Cook Islands)
A. spodiopygius	White-rumped Swiftlet	Moluccas, Australia, east to Samoa, Tonga
A. unicolor	Indian Swiftlet	Southern India, Sri Lanka
A. vanikorensis	Island Swiftlet	East Indies, Philippines, Micronesia, Melanesia
A. whiteheadi	Whitehead's Swiftlet	Philippines, New Guinea, Solomon Islands

LITERATURE CITED

- Ali, S., and S.D. Ripley. 1970. Handbook of the birds of India and Pakistan. Vol. 4. Oxford Univ. Press, Bombay.
- AOU (American Ornithologists' Union Committee on Classification and Nomenclature). 1983. Check-list of North American Birds. American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- Baker, R.H. 1951. The avifauna of Micronesia, its origin, evolution, and distribution. Univ. Kansas Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist. 3:1-359.
- 4. Barre, N., and A. Barau. 1982. Oiseaux de la Reunion. Imprimerie Arts Graphiques Modernes, St. Denis.
- Beehler, B. M. 1978. Upland birds of northeastern New Guinea.
 Wau Ecology Inst., Handbook No. 4.
- Berger, A.J. 1981. Hawaiian birdlife. Univ. Hawaii Press, Honolulu.
- 7. Brooke, R.K. 1970. Taxonomic and evolutionary notes on the subfamilies, tribes, genera, and subgenera of the swifts (Aves: Apodidae). Durban Mus. Novit. 9: 13-24.
- 8. Brooke, R.K. 1972. Generic limits in Old World Apodidae and Hirundinidae. Bull. British Orn. Club 92: 52-57.
- 9. Bruce, M.D. 1980. A field list of the birds of the Philippines. Traditional Explorations, Sydney.
- Bruner, P.L. 1972. The birds of French Polynesia. Pacific Scientific Information Center, Honolulu.
- 11. Clements, J.F. 1981. Birds of the world: A checklist. Facts On File, Inc., New York.
- Condon, H.T. 1975. Checklist of the birds of Australia: Part 1. Non-passerines. Royal Australasian Ornithologists' Union, Melbourne.
- 13. Delacour, J. 1947. Birds of Malaysia. The Macmillan Co., New York.
- Delacour, J., and E. Mayr. 1946. Birds of the Philippines. The Macmillan Co., New York.
- duPont, J.E. 1971. Philippine birds. Delaware Mus. Nat. Hist., Monogr. Ser. 2.
- duPont, J.E. 1976. South Pacific birds. Delaware Mus. Nat. Hist., Monogr. Ser. 3.
- Edwards, E.P. 1982. A coded workbook of birds of the world.
 Vol. 1: Non-Passerines. 2nd. Ed. E.P.Edwards, Sweet Briar,
 Va.

- Fleming, R.L., Sr., R.L. Fleming, Jr., and L.S. Bangdel. 1979.
 Birds of Nepal with reference to Kashmir and Sikkim. Avalok Publ., Kathmandu.
- Glenister, A.G. 1971. The birds of the Malay Peninsula Singapore and Penang. Oxford Univ. Press, Kuala Lumpur.
- 20. Gruson, E.S. 1976. Checklist of the world's birds. Quadrangle/New York Times Book Co., New York.
- Hadden, D. 1981. Birds of the North Solomons. Wau Ecology Inst. Handbook No. 8.
- Henry, G.M. 1971. A guide to the birds of Ceylon. Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- Holyoak, D.T. 1980. Guide to Cook Islands birds. D.T. Holyoak.
- Holyoak, D.T., and J.C. Thibault. 1978. Notes on the biology and systematics of Polynesian swiftlets, *Aerodramus*. Bull. British Orn. Club 98: 59-65.
- 25. Howard, R., and A. Moore. 1980. A complete checklist of the birds of the world. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- 26. King, B.F., and E.C. Dickinson. 1975. A field guide to the birds of South-East Asia. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston.
- 27. Mayr, E. 1945. Birds of the Southwest Pacific. The Macmillan Co., New York.
- 28. Medway, L. 1966. Field characters as a guide to the specific relations of swiftlets. Proc. Linn. Soc. London 177: 151-172.
- 29. Medway, L. 1975. The nest of *Collocalia v. vanikorensis*, and taxonomic implications. Emu 75: 154-155.
- Medway, L., and J.D. Pye. 1977. Echolocation and the systematics of swiftlets. *In Stonehouse*, B., and C. Perrins, Eds., Evolutionary ecology. Univ. Park Press, Baltimore.
- 31. Morony, J.J., Jr., W.J. Bock, and J. Farrand, Jr. 1975. Reference list of the birds of the world. American Mus. Nat. Hist., New York.
- Owen, R.P. 1977. A checklist of the birds of Micronesia. Micronesica 13: 65-81.
- 33. Penny, M. 1974. The birds of Seychelles and the outlying islands. Taplinger Publ. Co., New York.
- 34. Pizzey, G., and R. Doyle. 1980. A field guide to the birds of Australia. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.
- 35. Pratt, H.D., P.L. Bruner, and D. G. Berrett. In press. A field guide to the birds of Hawaii and the tropical Pacific. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.

- 36. Procter, J. 1972. The nest and identity of the Seychelles Swiftlet *Collocalia*. Ibis 114: 272-273.
- 37. Ripley, S.D. 1961. A synopsis of the birds of India and Pakistan. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc., Bombay.
- 38. Slater, P. 1970. A field guide to Australian birds: Non-passerines. Livingston Publ. Co., Wynnewood, PA.
- 39. Smythies, B.E. 1981. The birds of Borneo [3rd. Ed. rev. by Earl of Cranbrook]. The Sabah Soc. (Sabah) with the Malayan Nature Soc. (Kuala Lumpur).
- Somadikarta, S. 1967. A recharacterization of *Collocalia papuensis* Rand, the Three-toed Swiftlet. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. 124 (3629): 1-8.
- 41. Thibault, J.C., and C. Rives. 1975. Birds of Tahiti. Editions du Pacifique, Papeete.
- 42. Walters, M. 1980. The complete birds of the world. David & Charles, Newton Abbott, London, North Pomfret, Vt.
- 43. Wildash, P. 1968. Birds of South Vietnam. Charles E. Tuttle Co., Rutland, Vt.

Museum of Zoology Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, LA 70893

IS MANANA ISLAND NOW "RABBITLESS ISLAND?"

Manana Island off of windward Oahu is commonly referred to as "Rabbit Island." On several occasions I have heard people alluding to the island's outline as a source for this name, "You see, the nose points east towards Makapuu Point, and the rabbit's ears are laid back along its head there."

With a little bending of my imagination I, too, can envision the shape of the island as a rabbit's head. However, it comes as a surprise to many people that there actually are rabbits on Manana, and that that is the more obvious origin of the name Rabbit Island.

European rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) were introduced to Manana at some time just prior to 1900. The rabbits are suspected to have destroyed some native plant species previously occurring on the island, though there are no botanical records from the island prior to that time, and it can not be doubted that their presence has continued to have a restrictive effect on the existing vegetation there.

It appears that there are no longer any rabbits surviving on Manana. Though one is hesitant to make such a finalized claim on this subject, my observations from Manana during the past three years leave little doubt in my mind that the rabbits are indeed gone.

During 1983, 1984, and 1985 I visited Manana regularly from May through September



Rabbit bones on Manana Island.

Photo by Jack Swensen

while engaged in seabird studies there. In 1983 I saw rabbits frequently and their droppings were evident throughout many parts of the island. In July, after a copious rain, the island greened up considerably as new grasses sprouted. During subsequent weeks one could easily count as many as ten rabbits feeding on the south side of the island and twice that number within the crater.

1984 was a very dry year and during five months of field work on Manana I only sighted one rabbit. It leapt out of a hole and was chased around a hillside by a raucous flock of Brown Noddies in flight.

That was the last rabbit that I've seen on Manana. During 1985, despite a summer profusion of plant growth resulting from heavy rains in May, I saw no rabbits and no rabbit droppings. If rabbits were still surviving on Manana in 1985, it seems likely that they would have been evident during these months of abundant green vegetation.


Manana's rabbits were reported to have had minimal interactions with the island's breeding seabirds. The types of plant species and their density on Manana largely dictate the suitability of the island for breeding of certain species of seabirds. As the rabbits directly affected the vegetation, their presence, or lack thereof is of consequence to the seabirds of Manana.

In 1985 a sprawling vine (Merremia aegyptia), previously not reported on Manana, was growing in numerous patches on the south-facing slope of the island. In one five square meter area this plant grew dense enough to preclude the nesting of Sooty Terns which had previously used this area. Whether the sudden abundance of this plant is related to the disappearance of the rabbits is not known, but it serves as an example of the potential

changes that may occur to the vegetation and birdlife on Manana without rabbits present.

I urge both botanists and birders to note changes on Manana in upcoming years. Also, just in case, keep an eye out for the reappearance of small brown pellets on the ground out there.

Jack Swenson c/o Abercrombie 20 Monkey Wrench Ln. Bristol, R.I. 02809



RICHARD E. WARNER

Dr. Richard E. Warner, ornithologist and conservation biologist, died at the age of 54 on May 2, 1984, in Davis, California. After his undergraduate studies he was a wildlife biologist in Alaska; then he moved to Kauai, Hawaii. Thus, from the mid-1950s, Warner's life and life work was inextricably connected with the Hawaiian Islands. Employed at first by the Hawaiian Sugar Planter's Association, Rick soon joined the Territorial Division of Fish and Game in Honolulu, where he served from 1957 to 1960. Among his numerous tasks as bird and mammal biologist was the exploration of the Leeward Islands.

Rick's doctoral thesis work at the University of California led to assignments with the World Health Organization who sent him to lecture about his novel findings in Europe and Asia. He also spent time in Equador as wildlife consultant.

With such a distinguished background, he received a meritorious job offer which took him practically from the lecture room

to an endowed chair at the Memorial University of Newfoundland. Dr. Warner taught there for five years with several successful projects combining conservation and ornithology, mostly concerning the dangers of opening up the frail and delicate arctic environment to the hazards of oil transport. He then spent the next five years as conservation director of Dade county, Florida, where he grappled with several serious pollution related problems.

Thereafter, in 1978, he moved back to California, a state which he deemed a good springboard to launch an attack on the destruction of riparian forests, one of the most endangered mainland habitats. Several thick reports (and years) later, Warner succeeded in calling a nationwide symposium to consider their plight. The editing of this material took years: he slowed down somewhat—until the latent cancer broke out with full force—in a last burst of energy, he managed to finish the conservation book in 1984.

These are the bare facts of the rich life of a person who lived for and loved nature like few other people, and who pioneered not only in the wilderness of nature but also against the wilderness of political, economic, selfish interests which endanger our natural environmental resources. He fought against the cultural eutrophication of the beautiful lagoons of the Florida Keys; for the saving of the shrimp and lobster fisheries of the same waters; against the irreparable damage the oil tankers might cause in the Arctic; and against the merciless extirpation of gallery forests in the Southwest. It was not entirely a Don Quixote fight.

What does Hawaii owe to Richard Warner, erstwhile game biologist of the state for a short period of time? He helped to thrust into the foreground of our consciousness the Leeward Islands, foremost of them Laysan which harbors spectacular endemic wildlife. He assessed, for the first time, the populations of land and sea birds of the island; by systematic research on the Laysan Finch he revealed the susceptibility of this and other endemic birds to contagious diseases. He suspected, and on good grounds, that after the accidental introduction of the disease carrying mosquito these diseases were responsible for the decimation of the endemic avifauna. His work and others' later on, showed that a populous native bird fauna exists only above approximately 860 m elevation, near the upper limit of occurrence of the tropical

mosquito. Another outstanding result of Dr. Warner's explorations was the pioneer study of the ecology of the Laysan duck. The last of Rick's Laysan trips was the 1961 Harold J. Coolidge Expedition, where he enlisted 9 fellow scientists each tackling a different aspect of the island, geological, botanical, zoological, and managerial. They also made a cursory but historically important three-day survey of Kure Island (see 'Elepaio 22: 43-47).

Among Warner's official duties while in Honolulu was to work on introduced mammals, especially the "big game" of the Islands: pig, sheep, deer & goat. He became aware of how destructive these pests are to the native vegetation and thereby to the birds. The annual sheep count of the late 1950's already pointed to serious overpopulation problems, especially in the Mamane belt of Mauna Kea, home of the rare Palila bird whose numbers have seriously dropped, even since Warner's time. When he saw that his suggestions met with deaf ears with his politically squeezed superiors, he went ahead and published in California his famous warning cry: " A Forest Dies on Mauna Kea". This essay is a classic, an eye-opener. It took many years, but his initiative was eventually followed by others, and measures were taken -- by then, Dr. Warner had terminated his chief residence on the islands but not his interest in their plight.

In 1967, during a vacation period from his teaching, he got together an expedition to explore the natural historical resources of Maui's Kipahulu Valley under the sponsorship of the Nature Conservancy and the National Park Service. A scientific party of 14 people and their helpers cut their way through the dense rainforest to base camps at successively higher elevations. Reports followed about the ecological conditions of the valley and its lakes; on vascular plants and their geography, Drosophila files and other insects; mosses, mammals and birds. Highlights of the ornithological results were the rediscovery by Winston Banko of the Maui Nukupuu, believed to be totally extinct, and the sightings of the Maui Parrotbill, for the second time in this century.

When I first met Rick Warner in 1958 at the University of Hawaii, he was a big, robust man, with an enquiring face and a stature—and manners—emanating physical and spiritual strength, determination and insatiable scientific curiosity. His penetrating blue eyes most often reflected a happy glint, but could also display a piercing stare when deal—

ing with opponents of a conservation idea or project. He always talked in a soft, rather low voice. He lived modestly, and at that time alone, but always surrounded by wildlife that cheered him when office or classroom duties prevented him from being in the field. Birds of all kinds, fish swimming back and forth in an aquarium, lizards or sluggish snakes in terraria, and always the obligatory dog greeted him when arriving home. Rick absorbed all knowledge that he could find by reading and listening, synthesizing it for his own purposes. These purposes, during our 26 years of friendship, were always scientific, exploratory, or educational, aiming at nature conservation.

Rick Warner was born and died in California, but between these two terminal dates he had an impact embracing the four geographic corners of North America (Alaska, Newfoundland, Florida, and Hawaii). Without him the Hawaiian conservation movement and the knowledge of our fauna would not be where they are today. The coconut trees on Laysan atoll which he planted in the mid-50's, and which I have heard are still living and thriving, stand as a living memorial of Dr. Warner's achievements.

Miklos D.F. Udvardy California State University Sacramento, CA. 95819-2694

13 APRIL 1986 TRIP TO PALIKEA

We knew it was going to be a great day when we saw our first bird even before we got to the trail head. It was a Chestnut Mannikin, one of a small flock spotted along the road in Makakilo.

Anyone who missed the Palikea hike missed not only tremedous views of Oahu and a chance to see many native species of plants and animals, but also a great deal of fun. Sixteen hikers braved fog, trails slippery with mud, cold winds, and a lot more laughs than anyone could have imagined. Some of the native flora seen included such rarities as Labordia, Viola and Korthasella, the native mistletoe. We also saw several Lobelia and Clermontia, of which one was blooming. Birding was slow because of the high winds, but we did get great looks at 'Apapane and 'Amakihi blowing from tree to tree. A friendly 'Elepaio treated the group with excellent views and several empha-

tic songs. Japanese Bush-warblers were singing everywhere, but these elusive critters were never spotted.

Mark Merlin and Audrey Newman were excellent trail guides, sharing many stories about the history, geology, flora, and fauna of the Waianae Mountains. The group was a blend of professionals from just about every walk of life, from dentist to geophysicist, and the lunchtime conversation switched from "What do you have in your sandwich" to vulcanology, to DNA hybridization. The various backgrounds of the hikers never allowed a dull moment, and the knowledge shared was invigorating during the entire eight hours of the hike. A great hike, indeed!

Janie Deuser

MARCH 1986 PROGRAM HAWAIIAN DECAPODS

The speaker for Audubon's March meeting, Dr. Richard Titgen, Research Associate at the Bishop Museum, was introduced by Allen Allison as a person "wild about crabs."

Dr. Titgen explained that there are more . than 600 species of Decapods, classified as Crustaceans, which include crabs, shrimps, and lobsters. "Ghost crabs" are seen on Hawaiian beaches scurring from the water's edge to their holes, and are almost invisible until they move. Other shoreline species with long legs frequent beaches with high energy waves; they scrape algae off rocks for food. Hawaii has several hermit crabs, whose soft bodies are very vulnerable to predation when outside their borrowed shells; a rapid change from an outgrown shell to a new one is imperative for survival. In marked contrast to the shoreline varieties, there are swimming crabs with paddle-shaped hind legs.

Some crabs are poisonous, but it is not always possible to determine whether a particular individual is poisonous or not. Males and females can be distinguished, as males have smaller, narrower abdomens, while females have wide abdomens which enable them to hold eggs for a long period.

"Snapping shrimps" are so named because they make sounds similar to the sound of popping corn. There are "cleaner shrimps" which clean moray eels, and other species of cleaners specific to particular fish.

Dr. Titgen also discussed anchialine ponds and some of their mysteries, among them how the ponds are interconnected, and what

food sources are available for the species of shrimp living in the pond systems. Anchialine ponds are mostly found on islands, in porous rock such as lava and limestone. Some are full of water at all times, others only during periods of tidal and wave action. None is above high tide level. Most shrimps inhabiting anchialine pond systems live in deep spaces far below the rock surface; only a few ever come up through the cracks.

Dr. Titgen's talk was illustrated with wonderfully clear, sharp, colorful slides illustrating a wide variety of crabs unknown to the casual beach-goer.

Betty L. Johnson

HOT OFF THE PRESS!

CHECKLIST OF THE BIRDS OF MICRONESIA, by Peter Pyle and John Engbring. 1985. Separate from 'Elepaio 45(6):57-68. Includes status and reference information for birds of Wake, Marshall Is., Kosrae, Pohnpei, Truk, Northern Mariana Is., Guam, Yap, and Palau. \$2.00 (\$3.00 outside U.S. and Canada).

'ELEPAIO 5-YEAR INDEX, VOL. 41-45. A big "Mahalo" to Sol Cushman, who compiled this 5-year index and did such an excellent job. Also our thanks to Susan Schenck, who compiles our yearly indices, without which there would be no 5-year index. \$2.50 (\$3.50 outside U.S. and Canada).

Both publications may be obtained by sending a check or money order (made out to "Hawaii Audubon Society") to: Hawaii Audubon Society, P. O. Box 22832, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822. The small fees charged for these publications cover the cost of publication and include postage.

NEW SCHEDULE FOR KILAUEA POINT NWR

Effective 1 March 1986, Kilauea Point National Wildlife Refuge has been operating Monday through Friday from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. for public visitation and is closed on federal holidays. Please note it is closed on weekends.

It has become one of the more popular places to visit on Kauai.

ALOHA TO NEW MEMBERS

We welcome the following new members and encourage them to join in our activities.

Local Members and Subscribers:

Daryl V. Akamichi, Inglewood, CA; Collette Akana, Aiea, HI; Sandra Biskovich, Kahului, HI: Randolf Cadiente, Redlands, CA; Brucie and Duncan Carter, Kaneohe, HI; David S. Cooper, Waianae, HI; Roger H. Coryell, Honolulu, HI; Gary A. Costa, Kekaha, HI; Linda DiSante, Honolulu, HI; Chris Dulin, Ewa Beach, HI; Elizabeth Edwards, Honolulu, HI; David Fallow, Madison, WI; Martha Fast, Honolulu, HI; Tom Harty, S. Aukland, New Zealand; Mel Hickman, Gladstone, OR; Kamal Islam, Corvallis, OR; Annalee Jones, Kaneohe, HI; Karol Kasper, Honolulu, HI; Cassandra Kavarnos, Holualoa, HI; John Kelly, North Pole, AK; Clara King, Ordway, CO; Lynn Kleiberg, Highlands, NJ; Wayne Kozuma, Kaneohe, HI; Judith Kuhnle, Mililani, HI; Eileen Molloy Lappert, Mill Valley, CA; Lai Ieng Lei, Laie, HI; Ronald Lester, Wailuku, HI; Brian J. McCaffery, St. Mary's, AK; Roberta McIvor, Lihue, HI; Josephine L. Muchille, Honolulu, HI; Charles Y. Nagamine, Honolulu, HI; Marilyn Pearlman, Salinas, CA; Richard H. Pickens, Pearl City, HI; Rebecca Pirocchi, Aiea, HI; J. Scott B. Pratt, Honolulu, HI: Leaellyn Rich, Emerald, Victoria, Australia; Martin G. Rollinger, Kaneohe, HI; Peter Rudisill, Kailua, HI; Jean Shepard, Gulfport, MS; Karen Shimabukuru, Waianae, HI; Christine M. Simon, Honolulu, HI; John L. Sincock, Uniontown, PA; Catherine Summers, Honolulu, HI; Timothy Sutterfield, Honolulu, HI; M. James Termondt, Wheaton, IL; Larry Thompson, Helena, MT; Richard H. Titgen, Honolulu, HI; W.E. Vinacke, Buffalo, NY; Mashuri Waite, Hilo, HI; Ernie Welch, Newburgh, NY; T.H. Witt, Murfreesboro, TN; Rosalind Wright, Honolulu, HI; Lucy H. Young, Honolulu, HI.

GOURMET ICE CREAM

Will be served at next month's typing session and paste-up of the 'ELEPAIO at Thane Pratt's house at 954 Spencer St. in Makiki. Volunteers will be welcome to help with typing on Saturday, May 17 and with paste-up on Sat., May 24 at 1:00 PM. To confirm, call 524-8464.

Many thanks to Kathy Cornell, David Mc-Cauley, and Joel Simasko for helping with the May issue's paste-up.

MAY 1986 FIELD TRIPS

Saturday, May 10th Aiea Ridge Trail Leader: Andrew Engilis (545-2993)

Join Andrew on this half day hike into the central Koolau Range. This trip usually provides participants with good looks at Oahu's native forest birds such as 'Apapane, 'Amakihi, and 'Elepaio. Last year at this time Andy spotted an 'I'iwi (rare on Oahu) on the upper ridge. The length of the hike will depend on both weather and participants, but expect to bring lunch, water, sunscreen, and of course rain gear. Meet Andy in front of the State Library on Punchbowl St. at 8:00 AM; expect to car pool.

Sunday, May 18th Kaneohe Marine Base Leader: Bob Pyle (262-4046)

Our attempt to run this trip in the latter half of April failed, but everything looks better for this month. Bob is an expert on the history, both natural and human, of this interesting area. The trip is highlighted by visits to the Red-footed Booby colony and Nuupia Pond. Because the military may change the date at moment's notice, Bob felt it wise to have a sign up list to ensure each participant has the correct date (in case it changes) and meeting time and place. However, there is no limit to the number of participants, so bring a friend. Please phone Bob for your reservation and the details of the trip.

MAY 19 PROGRAM STUDIES ON AVIAN MALARIA IN BIRDS OF HAWAII

Avian diseases have been blamed for the decline of Hawaii's birds, but, nevertheless, remain a controversial issue. In his presentation, "Studies on Avian Malaria in Birds of Hawaii," Dr. Robert Nakamura of the Agricultural Department of the University of Hawaii will discuss the results of his recent investigations on the incidence of avian malaria in forest birds on Kauai and the Big Island, and will bring us up to date on this crucial problem. His talk will be given at the monthly General Meeting on Monday, May 19th at the McCully-Moiliili Library. The meeting begins at 7:30 PM, and of course all members and friends are encouraged to attend.

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

May	10	(Sat.) Field trip to Aiea Ridge. See page 129 for more information.
May	12	(Mon.) Board meeting at Bishop Mus. at 7:00 PM. Call Allen Allison at work, 848-4145.
May	18	(Sun.) Field trip to Kaneohe Marine Base. See page 129 for information.
May	19	(Mon.) General Meeting at McCully-Moiliili Library at 7:30 PM.
	Re	printing of material from the 'Elepaio

is permitted if credited to " 'Elepaio, the journal of the Hawaii Audubon Society".

By-laws available by request.

HAWAII AUDUBON SOCIETY P.O. Box 22832 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822

FORWARDING AND RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS Vol. 46, No. 11, May 1986

Review of Nomenclature of Cave Swiftlets	i de la companya de l
H. Douglas Pratt	.119
Is Manana Island now Rabbitless Island?	
Jack Swensen	.125
Obituary: Richard Warner	
Miklos D.F. Udvardy	.126
April Field Trip Report - Palikea	
Janie Deuser	.127
March Program Report - Hawaiian Decapods	
Betty L. Johnson	.128
Hot Off the Press (new publications)	

Non-Profit Organization
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Honolulu, Hawaii
Permit No. 1156

